



Grasping the complexities of Pakistani educators' pedagogical approaches and students' needs

Ismail Jabbar

Department of Management Sciences,

Qurtuba University of Science and Information Technology,

Peshawar. Email: ismailjabbar@gmail.com

Received 20 June 2025 Accepted 12 August 2025 Published 19 August 2025

Abstract:

This investigation examined connections between perceived motivating & demotivating teaching styles and students' frustration needs in Pakistani school students. 1,715 students participated in surveys that evaluated perceived experiences regarding motivating teaching styles, along with their levels of need satisfaction and frustration. Results indicated correlation among students' perception teaching styles and their satisfaction needs, whereas demotivating teaching styles were linked to heightened frustration of needs. Furthermore, the satisfaction of students' needs was observed to be positively correlated with a controlling teaching approach. The analysis explores both the theoretical and practical ramifications of these findings.

Keywords: Need frustration, Need satisfaction, Motivating styles, Teaching Self-determination.

1. Introduction

Teachers play a significant part in the process of shaping student achievement, development, and motivation. Ince (2023) The teaching styles that teachers employ are a significant factor in this process. The manner in which teachers approach their teaching decisions affects the degree to which students' needs are satisfied, which in turn affects the students' level of engagement and overall development (Wang et al., 2024). Students have a greater likelihood of participating in learning activities, which are supported by both their peers and their teachers, when their fundamental psychological needs are met. For example, increased engagement, positive emotions, and intrinsic motivation are all outcomes that are promoted by this satisfaction



(Arif et al., 2025a). However, need fulfilment and good results highlight teachers' instructional methods in encouraging student well-being and development. Teaching styles that support autonomy and structure are positively correlated with students' need satisfaction, according to previous research (Amerstorfer & Frein von Münster-Kistner, 2021; Chen & Huang, 2009). On the other hand, teaching styles that are controlling or chaotic are linked to increased disappointment and dissatisfaction among students (Pandita & Kiran, 2023). Prior studies like (Arif et al., 2025b) and others are just a few examples of the few studies that have been conducted in East Asian contexts. The majority of the research that has been done thus far has been carried out in Western countries. Pakistan and other Asian countries differ in avoiding uncertainty, gender roles, and knowledge processing (Zhou et al., 2023). This is even though Pakistan is considered to be countries that belong to the East Asian culture. In Pakistan, for instance, people have more personal freedom to determine the rationality of social rules, as found in this case.

The existence of these cultural differences emphasizes the need to develop a deeper understanding of how teaching styles affect student satisfaction and frustration across various cultural settings. This research aims to fill this gap by examining the links between inspiring and demotivating teaching techniques and the level of student satisfaction with their needs in Pakistan. It will explore how these pedagogical approaches are expressed within the context of Pakistani culture, which has its own unique values and norms. Through this study, contributions are made to advancing theoretical knowledge and offering practical recommendations for improving teaching practices in Pakistani educational environments to boost student motivation and well-being.

2. Literature Review

2.1 (De)Motivating Teaching Pakistani Styles

In Pakistani, teaching styles play a pivotal role in shaping students' motivation, engagement, and psychological well-being, with motivating approaches characterized by autonomy support, clear structure, and interpersonal involvement linked to greater



need satisfaction and intrinsic motivation (Santana-Monagas et al., 2024; Zhou et al., 2023) While demotivating styles marked by control, unpredictability, or emotional detachment correlate with need frustration and disengagement (Ghosh et al., 2020a). This dynamic is further nuanced by Pakistan's cultural backdrop, where hierarchical norms and an exam-driven system often normalize controlling behaviors such as public criticism or rigid discipline, yet some students interpret teacher authority not as coercion but as care or guidance. However, the perception that may explain the unexpected positive link between controlling teaching and need satisfaction observed in recent findings (Santana-Monagas et al., 2024). Thus, effective pedagogy in Pakistan requires a culturally responsive balance that honors local values while promoting autonomy-supportive practices aligned with Self-Determination Theory (İnce, 2023).

2.2 Evaluating Motivating and Demotivating Teaching Styles in the Pakistani Context

Recent theoretical advancements have refined our understanding of how teaching behaviors influence student motivation. Moè & Katz (2021) introduced a two-dimensional circumplex model that differentiates motivating from demotivating instructional styles by mapping them along two axes: (1) support for versus frustration of students' basic psychological needs (horizontal axis), and (2) degree of teacher directiveness or structure (vertical axis). This model has since been validated across diverse educational domains, including secondary schools, higher education, physical education, and clinical training (Wang et al., 2024). Nonetheless, its applicability within non-Western contexts, particularly in Pakistan, remains underexplored.

2.3 Teaching Approaches and Psychological Need Dynamics

The self-determination theory, or SDT, states that pupil motivation and well-being depend on satisfying three universal psychological needs: autonomy (feeling volitional), competence (feeling competent), and relatedness (feeling linked) (Escriva-Boulley et al., 2021). Furthermore, teachers create environments that nurture these needs, and students exhibit higher levels of engagement, intrinsic motivation, and prosocial behavior (Moè & Katz, 2021a). In contrast, instructional practices that undermine these needs, such as excessive control, unpredictability, or emotional coldness, can lead to disengagement, anxiety, and academic underperformance



(Escriva-Boulley et al., 2021). Crucially, recent cross-cultural studies confirm that autonomy-supportive and well-structured teaching consistently fosters need satisfaction, whereas controlling or chaotic styles heightened need frustration, even in high-power-distance societies (Collie, 2022; Zhu et al., 2024a)

2.4 A Dual-Process Understanding of Teacher Influence

Importantly, the absence of autonomy support does not necessarily equate to controlling behavior. Bong et al. (2024) argue that teachers may adopt a passive or indifferent stance, neither empowering nor overtly oppressive, which fails to activate student motivation. The dual-process model (Zhu et al., 2024a) clarifies this distinction: autonomy support operates primarily through pathways of need satisfaction to enhance engagement, while controlling behaviors trigger need frustration, leading to disaffection. Similarly, structured instruction can promote adaptive outcomes when delivered in a need-supportive manner, whereas chaotic teaching (e.g., inconsistent expectations, lack of clarity) tends to frustrate needs and impair learning (Dunlap et al., 2000).

2.5 The Pakistani Educational Landscape

In Pakistan, classroom instruction is often marked by large class sizes, teacher-centered pedagogy, and cultural norms that emphasize respect for authority and compliance (Zhu et al., 2024b). Traditional teaching practices position educators as definitive knowledge holders, with limited opportunities for student voice or choice (Moè & Katz, 2021b). Despite this context, emerging evidence indicates that autonomy-supportive strategies, such as offering meaningful choices, providing rationales for tasks, and acknowledging student perspectives, can significantly enhance motivation and perceived relevance of learning among Pakistani students (Ahmed et al., 2023). This suggests that SDT principles may transcend cultural boundaries, even in settings where directive teaching is normative.

2.6 Research Aims & Hypothesis

While research on teaching styles and psychological needs has expanded in East and Southeast Asia (e.g., Arafat et al., 2024; Hall et al., 2024), empirical studies in South Asia, particularly Pakistan, are scarce. Given Pakistan's unique blend of collectivist values, hierarchical classroom dynamics, and resource-constrained

educational infrastructure, findings from other regions cannot be assumed to generalize. Investigating how autonomy-supportive, controlling, structured, and chaotic teaching styles relate to students' need satisfaction and frustration in Pakistan is therefore essential. Such research can inform culturally responsive teacher development initiatives aimed at fostering student motivation, resilience, and academic success in this understudied context. Hence, two hypotheses are proposed:

H1. Students' perception of motivating teaching styles is positively related to the satisfaction of their psychological needs.

H2. Students' perception of demotivating teaching styles is positively linked to the frustration of their psychological needs.

3. Methods

The participants in this research project were selected from six middle & high schools located in the Punjab Province of Pakistan and three other schools located in the Sindh Province of Pakistan. A total of 1,550 teachers, who were volunteers, were included in the sample (566 males, or 36.5 percent), with 39.00 years (standard deviation = 8.61; age range: 20–72) and an average of 15.86 years of teaching experience (standard deviation = 9.40; range: 0–46 years). In addition, 757 (48.8 percent) of the teachers worked in middle schools, while 793 (51.2 percent) worked in high schools. Subjects taught included Urdu (16.2 percent), mathematics (16.1 percent), English (13.5 percent), physics (7.7 percent), Pakistan Studies (6.3 percent), and other disciplines (40.2 percent). Furthermore, 1,715 students, of which 852 were male (49.7%), voluntarily completed the surveys, with an average age of 15.57 years (SD = 1.57; age range: 11–18). The individuals that took part in this event, including the instructors and the pupils, were all citizens of Pakistan.

3.1 Measure Scale

3.1.1 Teacher Measures

3.1.2 Teaching Styles:

SIS (Aelterman et al., 2019a) was used to measure the teaching styles and consists of 15 teaching scenarios (e.g., You noticed some students showing anxiousness during a class task). You feel their responses and react by... coming with four possible answers that represent the various teaching strategies: autonomy supportive (e.g.,



"Acknowledge the anxiety of the students and ask them to share their feelings about it), structuring (e.g., "Break down the task in smaller parts so they will find it easier to manage it), controlling (e.g., "Make the students cope with it without displaying anxiety), and chaotic (e.g., "This is so chaotic, just ignore it and leave it to the students to manage on their own). Tutors requested to indicate on 7 Likert scale (1=does not describe me at all and 7 = describes me extremely well) how similar these reactions to their own response to such situations.

3.1.3 Teacher Need Satisfaction & Frustration:

Teachers' psychological needs were evaluated via Psychological Need Satisfaction & Frustration Scale (BPNSNF; Chen et al., 2015). Moreover, 24-item BPNSNF assesses satisfaction (e.g., freedom choice) and frustration (e.g., imposed tasks) across autonomy, relatedness, and competence. The teachers rate each statement on a 5-item Likert scale. However, the item stems were born with the phrase at school. To calculate pleasure and frustration scores, add the responses to each category and divide by 12.

3.1.4 Teacher Social Desirability:

The Balanced Inventory of Desirable Responding (BIDR; Paulhus, 1991; Hart, Ritchie, Hepper, and Gebauer, 2015) was used to measure social desirability. The BIDR comprises 16 items which measure two types of social desirability: self-deceptive-enhancement (SDE) & impression-management (IM). SDE is the process of responding in a disproportionately positive way without/consciously, whereas IM is the conscious way of representing people positively to a certain degree. Teachers rated every item on a 6-point Likert Scale, 1 = strongly disagree, 6 = strongly agree. The scores on SDE and IM have been obtained by adding the items to each scale and dividing them by 8.

3.2.1 Student Measures

3.2.2 Perceived Teaching Styles:

In order to determine how students perceived the teaching styles of their teachers, the 15 teaching vignettes of the SIS were marginally changed to present the view of the students (Aelterman et al., 2019). Students were requested to rate the level

of the response of the potential teacher that would fit their perception of their teacher's actions under those circumstances.

3.2.2 Student-Need-Satisfaction & Frustration (SNSF):

SNSF were evaluated via BPNSNF (Chen et al., 2015), and school was added to stem brilliant the teaching environment.

3.2.3 Student Social-Desirability (SSD):

SSD was measured by the short version of the BIDR (Hart et al., 2015). The students were asked to rate each item using a 6-point Likert scale, where 1 = strongly disagree and 6 = strongly agree.

4. Data Analysis

4.1 Results Analysis

4.2. Internal validity

Both the sample group of teachers and the sample group of pupils provided evidence in favor of the fact that the data was represented utilizing two dimensions. There was a substantial reduction in the normalized raw stress that was present between the one-dimensional solution and the two-dimensional solution, which served as proof for this. In addition, the findings of the Generalizability Profile Analysis (GPA) revealed that this two-dimensional structure was able to maintain consistency and stability between the two groups of respondents.

The samples did not exhibit good performance when the primary attempts were made to create an eight-factor model. When it comes to the teachers, the model yielded the following fit measures: $\chi^2=9164.664$, CFI =0.792; RMSEA =0.054, TLI =0.781. The factor loading values were found to be between 0.38 and 0.79. In addition, the students were not able to achieve a satisfactory model fit: $\chi^2= 7723.779$, CFI =0.799; TLI =0.788; RMSEA =0.046; and loading range of 0.28 to 0.78. Furthermore, it was determined that the covariance of the model's latent variables was not positive definite, which was an indication that the model was unstable.

We rolled up the subscales in order to resolve these issues. The first factor, which we called "motivating," was formed by combining the four subscales that exhibited teaching techniques that were supportive of autonomy and structuring. The second factor, which was labelled "controlling," was the result of the combination of the two



subscales of controlling teaching. The two subscales of chaotic teaching were also combined into a single item based on this line of reasoning. Even though the model fit was simplified, it was still below acceptable limits, with a chi-square of 9799.718 with 1707 degrees of freedom and a p-value of less than 0.001. The CFI was 0.775, the TLI was 0.767, and the RMSEA was 0.055, with loadings ranging from 0.10 to 0.79. The kh2 value was 8630.149 with 1707 freedom degrees and a p-value < 0.001. Additional enhancements to the model fit were achieved with the implementation of Mplus Rule adjustment (Oberski, 2013). Teachers: $\chi^2=5473.082$, TLI =0.874; CFI =0.891; RMSEA =0.041 (loadings: 0.12–0.80) $\chi^2=4482.065$, CFI =0.901; TLI Students: = 0.885; RMSEA = 0.034 (with a range of loadings from 0.15 to 0.77) Nonetheless, Hu and Bentler (1999) reported that a number of the fit indices fell short of the acceptable thresholds. In addition, when we had created the model, we removed the items that had the lowest loadings, which were Items 1 and 4. Each group demonstrated a reasonable model fit as a result of this adjustment, which is shown here: Teachers: chi-square = 3850.341; TLI = 0.897; CFI= 0.911; RMSEA = 0.039; the F.L ranged from 0.40 to 0.80.

Students: $\chi^2= 3264.258$, CFI=0.917; TLI=0.902; RMSEA =0.033, loadings for MGR ranged from 0.20 to 0.78. The psychometric features of these final models were excellent, and they were subsequently utilized in additional investigations. These last models had good psychometric properties and were adopted in further analyses.

4.2 Descriptive and Correlations

To ensure agreement with existing methodologies and allow direct comparison to earlier studies, we decided to only calculate partial correlations, which is the method used by Aelterman et al. (2019) when initially validating. Moreover, method allows studying relations among items and adjusting them to the impact of covariates. We decided to do so to correlate our results with the original study conducted by Aelterman et al. (2019). Despite the possibility of other forms of analysis, partial correlations have been chosen since consistent with methodological framework, which will guarantee the alignment of our research to the larger portion of the research on motivating teaching styles. We conducted correlations among variables & judge adequate control variables, we used the past studies (e.g., Aelterman et al., 2019; Chen

et al., 2015), which involved age, gender, and social desirability. Since these variables have significant correlations with most of the research variables.

However, consistency with existing methodology allows direct comparison to past investigations; we only calculated correlations, as Aelterman et al. (2019) did when initially instrument validate. This method permits analyzing variable associations & modification. We did so to compare our findings to Aelterman et al. (2019). Partial correlations were chosen because they fit with the study's methodological framework and will align our research with motivating & demotivating teaching styles. We used earlier studies (e.g., Aelterman et al., 2019; Chen et al., 2015) on age, gender, and social desirability to identify appropriate control variables & conduct Pearson correlations. Teachers' need satisfaction was strongly connected with motivational teaching style ($r = 0.14, p < 0.001$), while need frustration was positively associated with controlling and chaotic teaching styles ($r = 0.13, p < 0.001$). Perceived motivating teaching styles positively relate to student need satisfaction ($r = 0.36, p < 0.001$), while perceived demotivating styles lead to need frustration ($r = 0.13, p < 0.001$ and $r = 0.20, p < 0.001$ for controlling and chaotic styles, respectively). We found no significant correlation between need satisfaction and demotivating teaching style ($r = 0.00, p = 0.88$ to control, $r = 0.03, p = 0.21$ to adopt motivational teaching style) or need satisfaction.

Irate teachers and motivated teaching ($r = 0.01, p = 0.75$). Needs satisfaction did not effect student evaluation of chaotic teaching style ($r = 0.04, p = 0.08$). Student need frustration is negatively correlated with perceived inspiring teaching style ($r = -0.26, p < 0.001$). Interestingly, student demand fulfillment was positively connected with control teaching style impression ($r = 0.07, p < 0.01$). These findings are graphically shown.

Pairwise t-tests indicate teachers favor inspiring instructional techniques over regulated ($t(1549) = 31.23$, Cohen $d = 0.85$) and chaotic ($t = 45.01$, Cohen $d = 1.51$). Teachers preferred regulated techniques over chaotic ones ($t = 35.35$, Cohen $d = 0.68$) & significant. In the student sample, motivational teaching styles were most prevalent, followed by control ($t = 28.23$) and chaotic ($t = 59.22$, Cohen $d = 2.25$) styles. Students viewed controlled styles more than chaotic ones ($t = 52.21$).

5. Discussion

The present research was aimed at investigating student need satisfaction and frustration concerning the perceived motivating and discouraging teaching methods within the framework of Pakistani schools. The results showed that there were significant positive relationships: the perception of motivating instructional styles among students was correlated with a higher level of need satisfaction, whereas the perception of demotivating instructional styles was correlated with a higher level of need frustration, which is consistent with the previous findings in the Western educational settings (e.g., Aelterman et al., 2019). Need satisfaction was not significantly connected with a chaotic teaching style in conformance with the dual-process model (Arif et al., 2025b). Interestingly, the need frustration was also negatively related to the perceptions of motivating instruction. Of particular interest, strong positive relationships were also found between student need satisfaction and regulating (i.e., structuring) teaching approach, which should be the focus of further theoretical consideration.

Another secondary goal was to verify the Pakistani adaptation of the Student-Involved Survey (SIS). The evidence was in favor of the reliability and validity of the instrument when used with both teacher and student samples and is comparable to the psychometric attributes of the original measure. Cronbach's alpha was utilized to examine the internal consistency following the methodological precedent of Aelterman et al. (2019), which allows the researcher to have comparability with the existing literature. Despite the admitted limitations of Cronbach's alpha, especially in its use with congeneric measurement models, it is still extensively used in the field and therefore should be used in this case. Internal validity was also high, as confirmed by dimensionality analyses. Our analyses did not recreate the original 8-factor structure but instead found a more parsimonious 3-factor model based on motivational, regulating, and chaotic dimensions of teaching. This alignment coincides with the new research pointing to the conceptual and empirical overlap between autonomy-supportive and structuring practices (Wang et al., 2024; Zhou et al., 2023).

Two vignettes that were excluded from the final version of the Pakistani SIS were due to statistical considerations: classroom rules and motivating students. Such adaptation indicates the uniqueness of the educative situation in Pakistan. Numerous schools are managed in terms of a teacher-centered, discipline-focused structure (Zhu et al., 2024b). The early years of education are based on respect for authority and high grades (Bong et al., 2024). As a result, classroom rule explicit discussion might become less salient, and motivating students' scenarios can fail to distinguish between motivating and demotivating pedagogical practices. In addition, some teachers might also resort to apparently chaotic methods not as negligence in high-stakes testing pressures but as an adaptation (Aelterman et al., 2019b)-a behavior that can be viewed as acceptable or even functional in local standards. Future qualitative investigations of interviews with teachers and students may assist in clarifying the dynamics that are embedded in cultures and in filling the gaps in international educational systems.

5.1. De-Motivating Teaching Style in Pakistan Schools.

It is true that, whereas autonomy-supportive and structuring teaching styles have conventionally been used as two distinct constructs, they are always positively correlated (Aelterman et al., 2019). According to our results, these two practices in the Pakistani context serve as a combined aspect of motivating instruction. This integration was arrived at by both the teacher's and the students' perspectives. Although autonomy support is differentiated by the original SIS model and structure (Aelterman et al., 2019), other researchers have supported their combination, as there is high empirical covariance (e.g., Moe and Katz, 2020). We found the combination of these factors further justified by the changing relationship between the pedagogy traditionally teacher-centered in Pakistan and the new policy moves towards student autonomy. The problem with the traditional educators in Pakistan might be that they find it difficult to apply the autonomy-supportive strategies fully (khalid et al., 2020). Nonetheless, the complex of explicit organization and controlled autonomy may create the conditions conducive to the fulfillment of the most fundamental psychological needs of students, especially the ones of competence and the corresponding relatedness, but the autonomy may be cultivated over time even in the context of a hierarchical instructional culture.

5.2 Perceptions of teaching styles and need satisfaction/frustration in students.

The researchers found that students who perceived their teachers using motivating styles indicated more need satisfaction, and those using controlling or chaotic styles indicated more need frustration, as had been previously found in self-determination theory-based research (Aelterman et al., 2019; khalid et al., 2020). One of the most interesting results was the positive relationship between perceived controlling teaching and student need satisfaction. This could be a cultural rule of authoritative and directive teaching whereby it is seen as not autonomy-thwarting but rather supportive and facilitating academically.

Since behaviors in the educational environment of Pakistan, where teacher-centered education is the rule of thumb, disciplined behaviors can be considered as acts of caring and dedication to the achievement of students. Although controlling practices are thought to have negative effects in the Western environment (Aelterman et al., 2019). It can be moderated by culture. Such strategies may be seen as legitimate as opposed to undermining when ingrained in respectful and supportive teacher-student relationships. The future studies must explore the moderating role of relational quality in the relationship between the perceived control and motivation, and well-being.

5.3. Limitations

The paper is a great source of information on the relationship between teaching styles and the psychological needs and experiences of students in Pakistani schools; however, it is important to admit that the research has a number of limitations. First, the use of self-report measures could have biases such as social desirability and lack of recall. Even later research would be improved by the use of an objective measure of perceptions of teaching behavior (which could be classroom observations or video analysis). Second, teachers and students were sampled separately in different samples, eliminating the possibility of direct dyadic comparison of perceptions in the same classroom. A matched design should be chosen in further studies where the responses of teachers and their pupils are obtained to measure content validity. Third, the research was done on only two aspects of teaching styles and satisfaction/frustration of basic needs. Extending the results to cover outcomes like intrinsic motivation, academic participation, teacher burnout, or emotional health would give a better view

of motivational processes. Lastly, as the study was carried out in Pakistan, the sample was limited in both geographical and institutional backgrounds, and this limits generalizability. Further research ought to have a more nationally representative sample in different regions, types of schools (public/private), and educational levels. Moreover, since the educational culture in Pakistan is exam-centric, research can be conducted to determine the role of systemic forces, including high-stakes testing, in shaping pedagogical decisions and, through them, student motivation and experience of psychological need.

References

- Aelterman, N., Vansteenkiste, M., Haerens, L., Soenens, B., Fontaine, J. R. J., & Reeve, J. (2019). Toward an integrative and fine-grained insight in motivating and demotivating teaching styles: The merits of a circumplex approach. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 111(3), 497–521. <https://doi.org/10.1037/edu0000293>
- Ahmed, R. R., Akbar, W., Aijaz, M., Channar, Z. A., Ahmed, F., & Parmar, V. (2023). The role of green innovation on environmental and organizational performance: Moderation of human resource practices and management commitment. *Heliyon*, 9(1). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2022.e12679>
- Amerstorfer, C. M., & Freiin von Münster-Kistner, C. (2021). Student Perceptions of Academic Engagement and Student-Teacher Relationships in Problem-Based Learning. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.713057>
- Arafat, S. M. Y., Kar, S. K., Abhayanayake, C., Sharma, P., & Marthoenis, M. (2024). Prison mental health in South-East Asia: A narrative review. In *Brain and Behavior* (Vol. 14, Issue 8). John Wiley and Sons Ltd. <https://doi.org/10.1002/brb3.70004>
- Arif, A., Zahid Ch, A., & Akram, N. (2025a). Effects of motivation on teaching standards of higher secondary level english teachers of public and private sectors: A comparative study in Sialkot, Pakistan. *Social Sciences Spectrum*, 4(1), 103–126. <https://doi.org/10.71085/ss.04.01.207>
- Arif, A., Zahid Ch, A., & Akram, N. (2025b). Effects of motivation on teaching standards of higher secondary level english teachers of public and private



- sectors: A comparative study in Sialkot, Pakistan. *Social Sciences Spectrum*, 4(1), 103–126. <https://doi.org/10.71085/ss.04.01.207>
- Bong, J. Y., Cho, K., Liu, Z., & He, D. (2024). A dual-process motivation mediation model to explain female high school students' cognitive engagement and disengagement in emergency remote teaching and online learning in South Korea. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 55(3), 1020–1040. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.13415>
- Chen, C. J., & Huang, J. W. (2009). Strategic human resource practices and innovation performance - The mediating role of knowledge management capacity. *Journal of Business Research*, 62(1), 104–114. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2007.11.016>
- Collie, R. J. (2022). Social-emotional need satisfaction, prosocial motivation, and students' positive behavioral and well-being outcomes. *Social Psychology of Education*, 25(2–3), 399–424. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11218-022-09691-w>
- Dunlap, R. E., Van, K. D., Primen, L., Mertig, A. G., & Jones, R. E. (2000). *Measuring Endorsement of the New Ecological Paradigm: A Revised NEP Scale*.
- Escriva-Boulley, G., Guillet-Descas, E., Aelterman, N., Vansteenkiste, M., Van Doren, N., Lentillon-Kaestner, V., & Haerens, L. (2021). Adopting the situation in school questionnaire to examine physical education teachers' motivating and demotivating styles using a circumplex approach. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18(14). <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18147342>
- Ghosh, D., Sekiguchi, T., & Fujimoto, Y. (2020). Psychological detachment: A creativity perspective on the link between intrinsic motivation and employee engagement. *Personnel Review*, 49(9), 1789–1804. <https://doi.org/10.1108/PR-12-2018-0480>
- Hall, D. A., Juliana, J., Manickam, M., Sunil Singh, A. S. T., Wei, S. T. S., Vuong, P. A., Wu, F., & Firdaus, A. (2024). Contributions of Positive Psychology to Higher Education Across Asia: A Scoping Review and Unifying Thematic Framework. *Asia-Pacific Education Researcher*, 33(5), 1275–1285. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40299-023-00798-y>



- Ince, M. (2023). Examining the Role of Motivation, Attitude, and Self-Efficacy Beliefs in Shaping Secondary School Students' Academic Achievement in Science Course. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 15(15). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su151511612>
- khalid, R., Nader, M., & Khanam, A. (2020). A Self-Determination Theory on Effect of Teacher Autonomy Support on Students Motivation in Public School of Pakistan. *Global Regional Review*, V(I), 108–118. [https://doi.org/10.31703/grr.2020\(v-i\).14](https://doi.org/10.31703/grr.2020(v-i).14)
- Moè, A., & Katz, I. (2021a). Emotion regulation and need satisfaction shape a motivating teaching style. *Teachers and Teaching: Theory and Practice*, 27(5), 370–387. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13540602.2020.1777960>
- Moè, A., & Katz, I. (2021b). Emotion regulation and need satisfaction shape a motivating teaching style. *Teachers and Teaching: Theory and Practice*, 27(5), 370–387. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13540602.2020.1777960>
- Pandita, A., & Kiran, R. (2023). The Technology Interface and Student Engagement Are Significant Stimuli in Sustainable Student Satisfaction. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 15(10). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su15107923>
- Santana-Monagas, E., da Costa Ferreira, P., Veiga Simão, A. M., & Núñez, J. L. (2024). How (de)motivating teaching styles shape message framing outcomes on students' self-efficacy, emotions, and grades. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 110. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2024.102420>
- Wang, J., Xing, Q., & Moè, A. (2024). Understanding the dynamics of teaching styles and need satisfaction in the Chinese educational context. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 145. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2024.104609>
- Zhou, S. A., Hiver, P., & Al-Hoorie, A. H. (2023). Dynamic engagement: A longitudinal dual-process, reciprocal-effects model of teacher motivational practice and L2 student engagement. *Language Teaching Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/13621688231158789>
- Zhu, Y., Abidi, L., Savelberg, H., Köhler, S. E., Kusurkar, R. A., & Dolmans, D. (2024a). Fostering university students' autonomous motivation through a societal impact project: a



qualitative study of students' and teachers' perspectives. *BMC Medical Education*, 24(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-024-06494-9>

Zhu, Y., Abidi, L., Savelberg, H., Köhler, S. E., Kusurkar, R. A., & Dolmans, D. (2024b). Fostering university students' autonomous motivation through a societal impact project: a qualitative study of students' and teachers' perspectives. *BMC Medical Education*, 24(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-024-06494-9>